

Regional Strategy ::

a subdivision to create 4 lots Proposal Title : Hawkesbury LEP 2012 - Amend the Lot Size Map for 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond to permit a subdivision to create 4 lots Amendment of Hawkesbury LEP 2012 to permit a subdivision of 4 lots at 136 Longleat Lane, Proposal Summary :: Kurmond zoned RU4 - Primary Production Small Lots. **PP Number**: PP 2014 HAWKE 003 00 Dop File No : 14/13605 **Proposal Details** Date Planning LGA covered : Hawkesbury 08-Jun-2014 Proposal Received : RPA : Hawkesbury City Council Region : Metro(Parra) Section of the Act : State Electorate : LONDONDERRY 55 - Planning Proposal LEP Type : Spot Rezoning **Location Details** Street : **136 Longleat Lane** 2757 Suburb : Kurmond City : NSW Postcode : Land Parcel : Lot 8 DP 7565 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Contact Name : **Georgina Ballantine** Contact Number : 0298601568 Contact Email : georgina.ballantine@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Philip Pleffer** Contact Number : 0245604544 Contact Email : ppleffer@hawkesbury.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Contact Name : Derryn John Contact Number : 0298601505 Contact Email : derryn.john@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : N/A Release Area Name : Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy : **Metro North West subregion** Yes

MDP Number :		Date of Release	
Area of Release (Ha) :	0.00	Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	3
Gross Floor Area	0	No of Jobs Created :	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes		
If No, comment :	To the best of the knowledge of the relation to communications and m Metropolitan Delivery (Parramatta proposal, nor has the Director bee officers and lobbyists concerning	eetings with Lobbyists has t has not met with any lobbyi n advised of any meetings b	been complied with. ist in relation to this
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	Νο		
If Yes, comment	The Department's Lobbyist Conta have been no records of contact v	-	_
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :	SITE DESCRIPTION AND CURREN 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond is a an area of 3.97ha. There is an exis the site, adjacent to Longleat Land existing rural shed and a dam half site contains some dense vegetat	single lot zoned RU4 Primary ting dwelling on the land in t e. Around 70% of the front of way between the road and re	the north-western corner of the site is cleared, with an ear boundary. The rear of the
	The front of the site falls gently, b areas are located on a slope of ap		
	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT The proposed subdivision incorpo one lot of 1.18ha and one lot of 1.4 development of 3 new dwellings in future development applications. properties with lots between 2ha t	99ha. The creation of four sm n addition to the existing dwe The site is generally surroun	aller lots supports the elling, subject to approval of
	LOCATION AND SERVICES The site is located approximately Neighbourhood Centre under the and in 2011 had a population of ar surrounding areas). The Neighbou shops including a post office, pha secondary school is located near in Kurrajong and Tennyson.	draft North West Subregiona ound 1,500 (including The S Irhood Centre contains one p rmacy, petrol station, bakery	l Strategy for Sydney (2007) lopes and Tennyson orimary school and a line of and grocery store. A
	The site is serviced by electricity a is available, the proposed lots will served by a septic tank and trench been prepared to establish whether Although the Report does not pro proposed lots have sufficient area further investigations at developm	require tank water. The exis a system. A Preliminary Wast er the proposed lots could ac vide a full assessment, Coun to support effluent disposal	ting dwelling on Lot 401 is tewater Disposal Report has ccommodate onsite sewage. ccil considers that the

The land is currently accessed from Longleat Lane, a local two-lane road which ends at the southern perimeter of the site. Access to the four lots can be accommodated and will be addressed by Council at development application stage.

TRAFFIC AND PUBLIC TRANSPORT

Council notes that, due to limited public transport options, the majority of trips will be made by private vehicle. The increased traffic on Longleat Lane is unlikely to create any impact on surrounding properties and roads in the immediate area. However, community concern regarding the increase in rural residential development and the subsequent impact on local infrastructure has caused Council to request the preparation of a Section 94 Contributions Plan or Voluntary Planning Agreement for all proposals that increase residential density.

No public transport options are available close to the site. A bus stop is located in Kurmond within 20 minutes walk (approximately 1.7km). The bus Route 282 runs around every 30 minutes between Kurrajong and Richmond Station, where trains connect to Sydney CBD, taking approximately 50 minutes.

SURROUNDING ZONING AND LOT SIZES

The subject land is zoned and bordered by RU4 - Primary Production Small Lots land with 4ha minimum lot sizes to the north, west and south. To the east the land is bordered by an extended area of RU1 Primary Production land and 10ha minimum lot sizes. The current minimum lot size for the site is 4ha and will likely be reduced to 4000sqm at the front of the site and 1ha at the rear of the site, should the proposal proceed.

KURMOND INVESTIGATION AREA

The site is located within an area identified by Council as the 'Kurmond Investigation Area' (map attached). On 7 February 2013 Council resolved to determine the suitability of land within the Kurmond Investigation Area for large lot residential and/or rural residential development. On 24 June 2014 Council resolved that a report be prepared identifying priority areas for the preparation of structure plans and Section 94 Plans for land within the Residential Land Strategy. In addition Council resolved that Kurmond Investigation Area should be the first area to have a development/structure plan prepared.

Council resolved not to consider any future planning proposals until some of the specific additional investigation work outlined in Council's Residential Land Strategy 2011 has been undertaken and a structure plan and/or Section 94 Plan has been prepared for the locality. In order to undertake this work there will need to be a specific program for investigation initially funded upfront by Council with the ability, via application fees or Section 94 Plan, for Council to recoup those funds at the time landowners make application to Council.

As the planning proposal for 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond was lodged prior to Council's 24 June 2014 resolution, Council intends to progress the proposal without restriction.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Comment :

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

The objective of the proposal is to amend the Lot Size Map to permit subdivision of the site to create four lots, with the intent to increase rural residential density.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b) Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes Comment : The Lot Size Map for Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 will be amended to provide for a minimum lot size of 4,000sqm at the front of the property and 1ha for the balance of the property. The minimum permitted lot size in RU4 Primary Production Small Lots is generally 4,000sqm. Justification - s55 (2)(c) a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes b) S.117 directions identified by RPA : 1.2 Rural Zones 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries * May need the Director General's agreement **3.1 Residential Zones** 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements 6.3 Site Specific Provisions 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036 Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SREP No 9—Extractive Industry (No 2—1995) SREP No. 20 - Hawkesbury-Nepean River (No. 2 - 1997) e) List any other No other matters require consideration. matters that need to be considered : Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes If No, explain : **SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS DIRECTION 1.2 RURAL ZONES** Direction 1.2 applies as the proposal affects land within an existing rural zone. The proposal contains provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone on land that is not located within an existing town or village. The increase in density from one lot to four lots is considered a minor variation as the land is surrounded predominantly by smaller lots used for residential purposes. The site is located within 1km of Kurmond village centre. With respect to agricultural value, the land may have been used for light grazing in the past, but has no current agricultural use. The site is within a Class 3 and Class 4 agricultural land classification in accordance with the NSW Department of Primary Industries' Land Classification mapping. Class 3 land is grazing land or land well suited to pasture improvement with moderate production level, while Class 4 is considered as marginal lands not suitable for cultivation and with low productivity for grazing. The proximity of the site to rural residential properties with lots generally between 2ha to 6ha in size, in addition to the size and slope of the land, indicate that the site is unlikely to provide a sustainable and viable area for primary production use. Any inconsistency with the objectives of Direction 1.2 is therefore considered to be of minor significance.

DIRECTION 1.3 MINING, PETROLEUM PRODUCTION AND EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRIES Direction 1.3 applies as the planning proposal would have the effect of restricting the

potential development for mining, petroleum production and extractive industries by permitting a land use (Rural Residential) that is likely to be incompatible with such development. Hawkesbury Council's Resource Audit Map (see attached documents) identifies the land as not containing potential or existing resources and not adjoining resource areas. Council intends to consult with the Director General of the Department of Primary Industries to ensure that there are no issues with development on the land relating to resources extraction. Pending the result of the consultation, the proposal is consistent with Direction 1.3 in all aspects.

DIRECTION 2.3 HERITAGE CONSERVATION

Direction 2.3 applies when a relevant planning authority prepares a planning proposal. A listed Council heritage item (No. 1458) is located to the north of the site on Longleat Lane, however this item is not within the visual catchment of the site and the subdivision will have no impact on the listed item or curtilage. There are no known significant Aboriginal sites on the land or nearby. The proposal is considered to be consistent with Direction 2.3.

DIRECTION 3.1 RESIDENTIAL ZONES

Direction 3.1 applies as the rezoning will affect land within an existing or proposed residential zone. The proposal is consistent with Direction 3.1 as the proposal will not reduce the permissibility of the land. In addition, Council's requirement that a Voluntary Planning Agreement or Section 94 Contribution Plan be in place prior to approving the proposal is consistent with Clause (5)(a) which requires that land be adequately serviced (or an agreement put in place) prior to development being permitted.

DIRECTION 3.4 INTEGRATING LAND USE AND TRANSPORT

Direction 3.4 applies as the planning proposal alters a zone relating to urban land, including land zoned for residential. It is considered that the intent of Direction 3.4 is to apply to land within an existing centre rather than rural residential low density land. The increase in travel demand, either by car or public transport, is likely to be minor based on three additional dwellings on the site. Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre is approximately 1.8km and 20 minutes walk from the subject land. A bus (Busways Route 682) runs from Kurmond a few times a day, connecting the site with Kurrajong and Richmond Station, where a train can reach Sydney CBD within 50 minutes. It is considered that, due to the rural location, public transport options and low residential density, the proposal is not inconsistent with Direction 3.4.

DIRECTION 4.1 ACID SULFATE SOILS

Direction 4.1 applies as the site is located on land identified as 'Class 5' on the Acid Sulphate Soils Planning Map (see attached excerpt 'Acid Sulphate Map'). Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 Clause 6.1 (2) requires that works on land in a 'Class 5' area seek council consent if the site is within 500 metres of adjacent 'Class 1, 2, 3 or 4' land. All the land within 500 metres of the site is identified as 'Class 5' and development consent is not specifically required for works that might disturb acid sulfate soils. Due to the low increase in residential density and the permissibility of development it is considered that the inconsistency of the proposal with Direction 4.1 is of minor significance.

DIRECTION 4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION

Direction 4.4 applies as the site is identified as (and is surrounded by) Vegetation Category 1 zoned land on Council's Bushfire Prone Land Map. The Direction requires Council to consult with the Commissioner of the NSW Rural Fire Service following receipt of a Gateway determination and prior to community consultation. The proposal is considered consistent with Direction 4.4 subject to Council completing the consultation process with NSW Rural Fire Service (as conditioned in the Gateway determination).

DIRECTION 6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS Direction 6.1 applies on preparation of any planning proposal. The proposal is a

straightforward rezoning that does not require the concurrence, consultation or referral of development applications to a Minister or public authority. The proposal has not been identified as designated development. The planning proposal is therefore considered to be consistent with Direction 6.1.

DIRECTION 6.3 SITE SPECIFIC PROVISIONS

Direction 6.3 applies as the planning proposal allows a particular development to be carried out. The planning proposal is consistent with Direction 6.3 as it amends the lot size map for the site to an existing category in Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012. The proposal does not impose any development standards or requirements in addition to those already contained in the Plan.

DIRECTION 7.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METROPOLITAN PLAN FOR SYDNEY 2031 Direction 7.1 applies to all land in the Hawkesbury Local Government area. The planning proposal is consistent with Action F1.1 of the Metropolitan Plan which states that 'Rural settlements outside the Growth Centres, including rural residential (large lot residential) and rural villages, will be managed through new comprehensive local strategies prepared by local councils'. Hawkesbury Council's Residential Land Strategy 2011 identifies the land as having urban potential due to it's location within 1km of Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with the aims of the Metropolitan Plan.

SEPPS AND SREPS

SEPP NO. 55 - REMEDIATION OF LAND

The previous uses of the site are unknown, although the land may have been used for low intensity grazing. The site has been used only for residential purposes in recent years and therefore carries a very low risk of soil and groundwater contamination. Clause 6 of SEPP 55 requires that Council carry out an investigative study if contamination is suspected. Council has stated that any investigation could be carried out following the issue of the Gateway determination. It is considered that, due to the minor risk of contamination, an Investigative Study is not required.

SREP NO. 9 - EXTRACTIVE INDUSTRY (NO. 2-1995)

The site is not within the vicinity of land described in Schedule 1, 2, 3 and 5 of the SREP, however Schedule 4 applies to all land within the Hawkesbury Local Government Area. The subdivision will not restrict the removal of deposits of extractive material from such land. The land and surrounding area have no history of extractive industry. Due to the minor significance of the proposal it is therefore considered that any inconsistency with the objectives of SREP No. 9 is justified.

SREP NO. 20 - HAWKESBURY-NEPEAN RIVER (NO. 2 - 1997)

The aim of SREP No. 20 is to protect the environment of the Hawkesbury–Nepean River system by ensuring that the impacts of future land uses are considered in a regional context. Part 2 of SREP 20 provides general planning considerations, specific planning policies and recommended strategies.

It is considered that the proposal is a relatively minor lot size variation, with an increase of only three dwellings, and can be achieved without any significant adverse impact on the Hawkesbury River Catchment.

Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

Maps have been provided but are of poor quality. It is recommended that Council clarify all areas to be rezoned and provide clearer, enlarged current and proposed zoning, lot size and aerial maps prior to public exhibition.

Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

The planning proposal states that a period of 14 days would be sufficient for community consultation, however a period of 28 days is considered more appropriate. Council has stated that community consultation will be carried out in accordance with the conditions of the Gateway determination.

Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

Proposal Assessment

Principal LEP:

Due Date : September 2012

Comments in Hawkesbury Local Environmental Plan 2012 commenced on 21 September 2012. relation to Principal LEP :

Assessment Criteria

Need for planning proposal :

The subject land is not specified in any strategic study or report, however Council's Residential Land Strategy 2011 recognised the need to maintain the ongoing viability of rural villages through residential development (Section 6.5 Rural Village Development Criteria). The Strategy states that all future low density and large lot residential development in rural villages should cluster around villages with services that meet existing neighbourhood criteria services as a minimum (within a 1km radius). The proposal is a low density development within 1km of the environs of the Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre and therefore is considered to contribute to the ongoing viability of the Centre.

Consistency with strategic planning	DRAFT METROPOLITAN STRATEGY FOR SYDNEY 2031
framework :	The site is not identified in the draft Metropolitan Strategy for Sydney 2031. The Strategy categorises the subject land as falling within the Metropolitan Rural Area (Fig. 1 pg.5) and supports the growth of established rural towns and villages and seeks to maximise the Area's productivity (pg. 27). As the site has limited agricultural capability and is surrounded by rural residential lots between 2ha and 6ha in size, the proposal is considered to be consistent with the objectives of the Metropolitan Strategy.
	DRAFT NORTH WEST SUBREGIONAL STRATEGY
	The draft North West Subregional Strategy does not refer to the site specifically. The draft Strategy states that rural lands need to be protected from incompatible uses for their ongoing viability. This is particularly the case for intensive agricultural industries such as poultry sheds, mushrooms and protected cropping (pg. 117). As the site has limited agricultural capability and is surrounded by rural residential lots between 2ha to 6ha in size, it is not considered to be significant rural resource land.
	In addition, the proposal rezones land to facilitate 3 new dwellings within 30 minutes drive of the Regional City of Penrith, a goal set by the State Plan and supported by the Subregional Strategy (pg. 86). In a minor way the rezoning may contribute to the Hawkesbury's housing target of 5,000 new dwellings for Hawkesbury by 2031. The proposal is considered to be generally consistent with this draft Strategy.
Environmental social	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS
economic impacts :	The site is shown on Council's Biodiversity Map as being partly within a Significant Vegetation Area, partly within an area of Connectivity between Significant Vegetation Areas and partly clear of constraint. The proposal states that it is unlikely that vegetation will be required to be removed as a consequence of future dwelling construction and no changes to the Biodiversity Map are proposed. However, it is considered that a flora and fauna study should be prepared to assess significance of the biodiversity on the site.
	Council considers that the concept subdivision plan shows sufficient land within the generally cleared area that could be available for the erection of buildings, waste water disposal and asset protection zones.
	The site is within a Class 3 and Class 4 agricultural land classification in accordance with the NSW Department of Primary Industries' Land Classification mapping. Class 3 land is grazing land or land well suited to pasture improvement with moderate production level, while Class 4 is considered as marginal lands not suitable for cultivation and with low productivity for grazing. The proximity of the site to rural residential properties with lots generally between 2ha to 6ha in size, in addition to the size and slope of the land, indicate that the site is unlikely to provide a sustainable and viable area for primary production use.
	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC IMPACTS The proposal is unlikely to cause any social and economic impacts due to the minor nature of the rezoning. An increase of three dwellings will contribute in a small way to the growth of Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre.

Assessment Process	5				
Proposal type	Routine		ommunity Consultatio eriod :	n 28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	12 months	D	elegation :	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	Office of Environn NSW Department NSW Rural Fire Se Transport for NSW Telstra Other	of Primary Indus ervice	tries - Agriculture		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	Νο			
(2)(a) Should the matter	proceed?	Yes			
1f no, provide reasons	Neighbourhood C villages. The land the Kurmond Inve increased rural re- State strategies an 5,000 for the Hawl Subregional Strate On 7 February 201 Kurmond Investig development. On prepare a develop Given Council's re Voluntary Plannin planning proposa plan for the Kurmo	entre as having u l is situated withi stigation Area, w sidential density. nd policies and p kesbury Local Go egy 2007. I3 Council resolv ation Area for lar 24 June 2014 Co ment/structure p equirement that a g Agreement be I, and given Cour ond Investigatior	Irban potential to ens in the area identified which Council has def in addition, the prop rovides a minor addi overnment Area estal ed to determine the s rge lot residential and uncil resolved to revi lan for the area as a section 94 Develope completed prior to C ncil's intention to pre a Area, it is considered	ew the Investigation are	f rural 2013 as I for with et of h West the a and f ucture ucture
Resubmission - s56(2)(b					-
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional st	udies, if required.			Э	
Flora Fauna If Other, provide reasons	s :				
Identify any internal cons	sultations, if required	1:			
No internal consultatio	n required		(•)		
Is the provision and func	ling of state infrastru	cture relevant to t	his plan? No		
If Yes, reasons :					
cuments			- 1 p		

Council Minutes 5 Feb 2013_Kurmond Investigation Area.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Map of Kurmond Investigation Area.docx	Мар	Yes
Report on Hawkesbury Residential Land Strategy 24 June 2014.pdf	Study	Yes
Council Resolution of 24 June 2014.pdf	Determination Document	Yes
Acid Sulphate Soils Map.pdf	Мар	Yes
Mineral Resource Audit Map for Hawkesbury.docx	Мар	Yes
Planning Proposal October 2013 (amended May 2014).pdf	Proposal	Yes
Subdivision plan for Longleat Lane, Kurmond.pdf	Drawing	Yes
Preliminary Wastewater Disposal Report for 136 Longleat lane, Kurmond.pdf	Study	Yes
Council Cover Letter for 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Aerial, Zoning and Lot Size Maps for 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond.pdf	Мар	Yes
Full Size Zoning and Lot Size Maps.pdf	Мар	Yes
Planning Team Report - 136 Longleat Lane, Kurmond.pdf	Determination Document	Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions:

- 1.2 Rural Zones
- 1.3 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
- 6.3 Site Specific Provisions
- 7.1 Implementation of the Metropolitan Plan for Sydney 2036

Additional Information

1. The report and resolution from the Council Meeting on 24 June 2014, regarding the Kurmond Investigation Area and Residential Land Strategy, should be exhibited with the planning proposal. All exhibited maps should be enlarged and of high quality.

2. Prior to exhibition, Council is to prepare a flora and fauna study for the site. This is to be included in the planning proposal's exhibition package.

3. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 as follows:

(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 28 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in Section 5.5.2 of A Guide to Preparing Local Environmental Plans (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).

4. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and/or to comply with the requirements of relevant Section 117 Directions:

- Endeavour Energy
- Greater Sydney Local Land Services Hawkesbury-Nepean Catchment Authority
- NSW Department of Primary Industries Agriculture
- NSW Trade & Investment Resource & Energy Division Land Use
- NSW Rural Fire Service
- Office of Environment and Heritage

section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979. This does not	<i>3</i> .	• Roads and Maritime Services • Telstra
section 56(2)(e) of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearin (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land). 6. Delegation is to be given to Council to exercise the Minister's plan-making powers. 7. The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. Supporting Reasons : The proposal has merit and will contribute to the ongoing viability of Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre.		
7. The timeframe for completing the Local Environmental Plan is to be 12 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination. Supporting Reasons : The proposal has merit and will contribute to the ongoing viability of Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre.		discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing
Supporting Reasons : The proposal has merit and will contribute to the ongoing viability of Kurmond Neighbourhood Centre.		6. Delegation is to be given to Council to exercise the Minister's plan-making powers.
Neighbourhood Centre.		
Signature:	Supporting Reasons :	
Signature:		Λ
	Signature:	Zalla
Printed Name: Is Acche (Date: 25 11 1/1	- · · · · ·	TAL

I

